

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,862	05/23/2006	Andrew Shepherd	2003P08272WOUS	7457	
22116 7590 60/13/2008 SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CHARLES, MARCUS		
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3682		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/559.862 SHEPHERD, ANDREW Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Marcus Charles 3682 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 15-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07 December 2005.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/559,862

Art Unit: 3682

### DETAILED ACTION

This is the first action relating to serial application number 10/559,862 filed 05-23-2006. Claims 15-27 are currently pending.

## Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which
papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Drawings

2. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as —Prior Art— because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

#### Abstract

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 1, the phrase "The invention provides" and in line 13, the present invention also provides" are phrases which can be implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure

Application/Control Number: 10/559,862

Art Unit: 3682

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 15-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Reilly et al. (5,743,657). O'Reilly et al. discloses a tilting bearing pad comprising a outer carrier (13); a plurality of tilted pads retain within the outer carrier; a corresponding plurality of retaining pins (56) to retain the tilted pads in given circumferential position, the pin is in the bearing assembly outer carrier so as to abut a side face of a cavity in the corresponding tilted pad and at least one retaining pin and the corresponding are respectively shaped such that , when in use, it is apparent that a clearance exist in the plain transverse to the axis of the bearing assembly and the tilted pad between the retaining and the side face is lesser at a first location which lies substantially at the inner surface of the carrier than at all corresponding locations at radially inner portions of the side face with respect to the first locations, and the contact points between the retaining

Application/Control Number: 10/559,862

Art Unit: 3682

pin and the tilted pad when in use lies substantially at the inner surface of the outer carrier, and the tilted pad is accordingly able to tilt without being substantially displaced circumferentially about the carrier. In addition, there is reasons to believe, bas on the similarity of (structure etc.) that the functional limitation (s) of the pin and the cavity of the tilted pad when in use being restricted to "—" maybe (an) inherent characteristic (s) of (the prior art). In re accordance with In re Best, 562F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). This "burden of rebutting [may be of] the PTO's reasonable assertion of inherency under 35 USC 102, or of prima facie obviousness under 35 USC 103" (195 USPQ at 432). Accordingly, the burden is placed upon the applicant to prove that the limitation (s) in question is/are not (an) inherent characteristic (s) of the reference disclosure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikil in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Reilly et al. O'Reilly et al. does not disclose the various shapes of the retaining pin and the cavity as set forth in the claims. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the shapes of the pin and cavity as set forth with the claims above, since it held that a change in the basic shape of a

Application/Control Number: 10/559,862

Art Unit: 3682

known apparatus would have been obvious improvement. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

#### Citation

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the prior art cited in attached PTO Form 892.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
  examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 2727101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00
  pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marcus Charles/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3682 Art Unit: 3682